~#In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

E: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE)
TRUST LITIGATION)
) No. 11-CV-2509-LHF
DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)
ACTIONS.)

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM CAMPBELL

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

February 5, 2013

Reported by: Anne Torreano, CSR No. 10520

~#In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Deposition of	william Campoen	~#III Ke:	піс	IN-TECH EMPLOTEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
	Page 170			Page 172
	1 recruiting with other companies?			1 A. I don't know what Adobe means.
	2 MR. MITTELSTAEDT: You mean with respect to			2 Q. Well, it's referring to Apple, and as a board
	3 Google?			3 member of Apple, I thought it was possible that you
	4 MR. HEIMANN: Well, we know from the documents			4 knew about some war between Adobe and Apple.
	5 that there were arrangements between Intuit and other			5 A. 2010. "Yah, bring it on."
	6 companies. I understand he says he didn't know about			6 What the hell?
	7 those.			7 No, I don't know. I have no idea.
	8 THE WITNESS: The only one I know of is Intuit			8 Q. All right. Let me ask you to take a look at
	9 and Google.			9 Exhibit 677.
03:15:10 1	0 MR. HEIMANN: All right.	03:17:47	1	0 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 677 MARKED.)
1	1 THE WITNESS: And the board was not aware of		1	1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I read that.
1	2 that. Or at least it was never a formal part of any		1	2 Oh, read the agreement itself?
1	3 questioning of any sort.		1	3 BY MR. HEIMANN:
1	4 BY MR. HEIMANN:		1	4 Q. I wouldn't encourage you to do it, but you're
03:15:17 1	5 Q. Okay. Does Intuit currently have any	03:19:26	1	5 welcome to if you want.
1	6 no-cold-call list itself?		1	6 A. No, I don't. Disclosure, et cetera, term and
1	7 A. Not that I'm aware of.		1	7 termination.
1			1	8 Okay.
1			1	9 Q. All right. Do you recognize the declaration?
03:15:31 2		03:20:13	2	0 A. Yes.
2			2	1 Q. Did you write this yourself?
2			2	2 A. Probably not.
2			2	3 Q. Somebody else wrote it?
2			2	4 A. I think I probably dictated some of it, but I
03:15:42 2		03:20:29	2	5 didn't write it.
	Page 171	03.20.23		Page 173
	THE WITNESS: Frivolous comment. Sorry.			1 Q. All right. Did you read it before you signed
	2 BY MR. HEIMANN:			2 it?
				3 A. I did.
	3 Q. Were you aware let's use it by documents. 4 298.			4 Q. Is it accurate in all respects?
	5 So this is an internal Adobe document which I			5 A. As far as I can tell, it is accurate.
	6 imagine you have not seen before.			 Q. All right. Let's talk start with the date 7 of January 1st, 2002 as the date that you were engaged
	7 A. What does the URL refer to? What does this			
	8 URL refer to?			8 to serve as a consultant for Google.
00.16.45 1	9 Q. Where are you reading?	02.00.45	-	9 The outset of your deposition today, you said
03:16:45 1	,	03:20:47	1	0 that was in September of 2001.
1			1	1 A. 2001, right.
1	1		1	2 Q. The correct date is September 2001?
1	<u> </u>		1	3 A. It is 2001. I mean, I probably if they
1			1	4 formalized it, you know, in the beginning of the year,
03:16:59 1	1	03:20:58	1	5 probably. But I did a lot of stuff with Eric starting
1			1	6 right after 9/11.
1			1	7 MR. RUBIN: I'll just interpose an objection
1	8 any I don't have a computer here, so I can't even		1	8 that misstates prior testimony about the date he
1	9 look at it.		1	9 provided.
03:17:12 2	, , ,	03:21:12	2	0 THE WITNESS: This is this is what yeah.
2	1 officially at war with Apple."		2	1 But yes, I first started coming to Google in
2	2 Q. That was going to be my question.		2	2 '01. Whether it was a formal agreement of any sort or
2	3 A. What the hell does that mean?		2	3 not at that time, you know, I just I really do
2	4 Q. That's that's my question to you.		2	4 things kind of informally with companies.
03:17:22 2	5 And again, you don't know?	03:21:29	2	5 BY MR. HEIMANN:

~#In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Deposition	OI	wimam campoen	-ππ κς.	1110	111	TECH EMI EOTEE ANTITROST ETHOATION
		Page 182				Page 184
		1 you. Just the process is what I'm interested in, not				BY MR. HEIMANN:
		2 the substance.			2	Q. All right, Mr. Campbell, let me hand you what
		3 A. I'm not sure what you want me to say.				we'll mark as Exhibit 678.
		4 Q. Just			4	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 678 MARKED.)
		5 MR. MITTELSTAEDT: He's entitled			5	BY MR. HEIMANN:
		6 BY MR. HEIMANN:			6	Q. Do you recognize this?
		7 Q. They asked you some questions during the			7	A. I do.
		8 course of that meeting, I gather.			8	Q. What is it?
		9 A. Correct.			9	A. It's Intuit's operating guidance. They call
03:31:27	1	0 Q. And you answered to the best of your ability?	03:38:13	1	0	it the code of conduct and ethics now.
	1	1 A. I did.		1	1	Is that something different? I don't know. I
	1	2 Q. All right. Have you reviewed any of strike		1	2	think so.
	1	3 that.		1	3	Q. Was it in effect during the time that you were
	1	4 Do you know what a deposition transcript is?		1	4	on Intuit's board of directors?
03:31:38	1	5 A. No.	03:38:25	1	5	A. Oh, yes.
	1	6 Q. It's what the court reporter will end up		1	6	Q. Was there any aspect of this that did not
	1	7 putting together when she does her work, and it will be		1	7	apply to your yourself and your work?
	1	8 the questions and answers that you were asked here		1	8	A. You know, we did the first ones. I don't
	1	9 today.		1	9	know. I didn't I'm not sure I read the second.
03:31:46	2	0 I'm interested to know, have you reviewed any	03:38:46	2	0	This is phase 2 of these. I'm not sure I read
	2	1 of the transcripts of the depositions of any of the		2	1	these in as much detail as I would have in the others,
	2	2 other witnesses who have testified so far in this case?		2	2	so it could be. I don't know.
	2	3 A. No.		2	3	Q. All right. Could you go to page 22 with me?
	2	4 Q. Have you met and spoken with any of the		2	4	A. Sure can.
03:32:01	2	5 employees at Google or officers at Google in connection	03:39:01	2	5	Got it.
		Page 183				Page 185
		1 with your preparation for the deposition?			1	Q. Under the subheading "a," "Use of Intuit
		2 A. Absolutely not.			2	Resources and Assets," was there any aspect of this
		3 Q. Same with respect to Intuit?				that you were exempted from?
		4 A. Absolutely not. I don't think anybody at			4	A. I don't think I was exempted from anything. I
		5 Intuit except these guys know.			5	was a I had been, for a while, an executive chair,
		6 Q. Just the lawyers?				which made me an employee, and then I am now a
		7 A. Just the lawyers.				nonexecutive chair but still an employee.
		8 Q. All right. And did you do anything else that			8	MR. HEIMANN: Okay. That's all I have.
		9 you haven't yet told us about to get ready for the			9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
03:32:21	1	0 deposition?	03:39:35	1	0	MR. HEIMANN: Thank you for your patience with
	1	1 A. I put on a jacket and a tie.		1		me, sir.
	1	2 Q. Got that.		1	2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	1	3 A. Very heavy preparation, by the way, just so		1	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. This is the end of
	1	4 you know.		1		video 3 of 3 and concludes today's proceedings.
03:32:30	1	5 MR. HEIMANN: Okay. So if we can just take	03:39:46	1	5	The master videos will be retained by Jordan
03.32.30	1	6 five minutes and let me confer about whether I'm done,	03.33.10	1		Media.
	1	7 I'm probably done.		1	7	We are now off the record, and the time is
		8 And would you like to see the '84 commercial				3:39.
	1	9 in the meantime?		1	9	(DEPOSITION ADJOURNED AT 3:39 p.m.)
02.20.20						(DEI OSITION ADJOURNED AT 3.39 p.m.)
03:32:39	2	0 THE WITNESS: No. THE VIDEOCRAPHER: We are now off the record.		2	0	oOo
	2	1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record		2	1	
	2	2 at 3:32.		2	2	
	2	3 (RECESS TAKEN.)		2	3	
00 07 15	2	4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record at		2	4	
03:37:19	2	5 3:37.		2	5	

Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 378 Filed 03/29/13 Page 4 of 4

Deposition of William Campbell ~#In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION Page 186 rtify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing rue and correct. WILLIAM CAMPBELL Page 187 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, Anne Torreano, Certified Shorthand Reporter nsed in the State of California, License No. 10520, by certify that the deponent was by me first duly n, and the foregoing testimony was reported by me was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided scription; that the foregoing is a full, complete, true record of said proceedings. I further certify that I am not of counsel or rney for either or any of the parties in the going proceeding and caption named or in any way rested in the outcome of the cause in said caption. The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of original transcript will render the reporter's ificates null and void. In witness whereof, I have subscribed my name 8th day of February, 2013. [] Reading and Signing was requested. [] Reading and Signing was waived. [X] Reading and Signing was not requested. ANNE M. TORREANO, CSR No. 10520